

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, DC 20241
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/770,031	01/25/2001	Donald Grindstaff	707.001US1	5215	
7	590 04/11/2003				
Mark A. Litman & Associates, P.A. York Business Center, Suite 205 3209 West 76th St.			EXAMINER		
			WONG, LESLIE A		
Edina, MN 55435			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1761	1761	
			DATE MAILED: 04/11/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/770,031

Applicant(s)

\_\_\_\_

Grindstaff et al.

Examiner

Leslie Wong

Art Unit 1761

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears o	n the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
Period f	or Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the						
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any rej	date of this communication.  Legislation of the properties of the	d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS f application to become ABAND	rom the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) X.	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 5, 200	02				
2a) 🗓	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) $\square$ This action	on is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	tion of Claims					
4) X.	Claim(s) 1-13 and 15-18		is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.			
6) 🗶	Claim(s) 1-13 and 15-18		is/are rejected.			
7) . · · · !	Claim(s)		is/are objected to.			
8) 🗍	Claims	are subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers						
9):	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	certified copies not r	eceived.			
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15): Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 6) Other:						
3) Inf	officiation previous statements) in 10-1443/ Fapai No(s).	or journer.				

Application/Control Number: 09/770031 Page 2

Art Unit: 1761

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-13 and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Kuraishi et al for the reasons set forth in rejecting the claims in the last Office action (Paper No. 4). The amendments to the claims and the new claims are not seen to influence the conclusion of unpatentability previously set forth.

Kuraishi et al teach a process for producing cheese using transglutaminase where the transglutaminase is added after curd formation in the amounts claimed (see entire patent, especially Example 1).

The claims appear to differ as to the surface area.

The claimed surface area would be inherent and/or obvious to that of Kuraishi et al as the same amounts and process steps are utilized.

Application/Control Number: 09/770031 Page 3

Art Unit: 1761

Applicant's arguments filed August 5, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Kuraishi et al do not teach a solid curd where the transglutaminase must remain on the curds in the applied concentration and the curds must be pressed in the presence of transglutaminase to effect bonding.

Kuraishi et al teach adding transglutaminase after curd formation and Applicant does not specifically claim a solid curd.

Kuraishi et al teach the claimed amounts of transglutaminase (see entire document, especially Example 1), and at least 5% appears to be met as the same amounts are employed.

In the absence of a showing to the contrary, transglutaminase is present in Kuraishi et al at the time of pressing as Kuraishi et al does not disclose otherwise. It is also noted that remaining transglutaminase would inherently be present during pressing and would effect bonding.

In the absence of unexpected results, it is not seen how the claimed invention differs from the teachings of the prior art. Applicant's claims are drawn to a combination of known components which produces expected results, see In re Kerkhoven 205 USPQ 1069 and In re Gershon 152 USPQ 602.

All of the claim limitations and arguments have been considered. None of them are seen as serving as basis for patentability.

No claim is allowed.

Art Unit: 1761

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is (703) 308-1979. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday. The fax number for this Group is (703) 872-9310 for non-final responses and (703) 872-9311 for after-final responses.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Leslie Wong Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761

Jishi WMJ

LAW April 10, 2003